SEST AVAILABLE COF

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P206264PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION	S	ea Form PCTAPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/NL2004/000561		International filling date (day/mon 10,08.2004	(h/yeqr)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.08.2003	
international Pa B65D1.30	itant Classificațion (IPC) or ni	alional classification and IPC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	:	
Applicant NOVATUG B.V. et al.					
1. This report is the International preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Afficie 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.					
2. This REI	s REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This rep	ort is also accompanied by ANNEXES, compitsing;				
a. ⊠ s	ent to the applicant and to	the International Bureau) a total	al of 2 sheets, as	s follows:	
⊠	sheets of the description and/or sheets containing Administrative instructions.	ig rectifications authorized by th	h have been ame ils Authority (see	nded and ere the basis of this report Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
	 sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure i Supplemental Box. 	e earlier sheets, but which this in the international application a	Authority conside is filed, as indicat	rs contain an amendment that goes ed in Item 4 of Box No. I and the	
. Se	iquence listing and/or tabl	reau only) a total of (indicate ty es related thereto, in computer isting (see Section 802 of the A	readable form on	f electronic carrier(s)) , containing a ly, as indicated in the Supplemental tructions).	
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
🖾 Box N	lo, I Basis of the opin	làn			
☐ Box N	lo. II Priority				
☐ Box N	lo, III Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard to nove	elty, inventive ste	p and industrial applicability	
D Box N		• • •			
⊠ Box N	applicability; citat	nent under Article 35(2) with regions and explanations supporti	jard to novelly, in ng such statemen	ventive step or industrial 1	
□ Box N		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
□ Box N		the international application		:	
⊠ Bọx N	o. VIII - Certain obsarvati	ons on the international applica	tion .		
Date of submission	on of the demand	Date of c	completion of this re	port	
13.06.2005			2005		
Name and mailing preliminary exam	g address of the international	Authorize	ed Officer		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 eamu d			, Y		
Fax: +49 B9 2389 - 4466			ie No. +49 89 2399	-7481 mp 1750, 17	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/00Q561

-				
_	Box No. Basis of the rep	ort ·		
1	this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wed under this item.			
	☐ international search (L☐ ☐ publication of the inter	anslations from the original language into the following language , a translation furnished for the purposes of: under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) national application (under Rule 12.4) ry examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report);			
	Description, Pages	:		
	1-4	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-10	received on 13.06.2005 with letter of 13.08.2005		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1,3-3,3	as originally filed		
	a sequence listing and/or a	any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify); ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
	☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawlngs, sheets/lig ☐ the sequence listing (sp.) ☐ any table(s) related to see	s pecify):		
	* If item 4 applies, s	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000561

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

AP20 RCG'd PCT/PTO 07 FEB 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/NL2004/000561

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Independent claim 1:
- 1.1. Article 33(2) PCT:

The document D1: DE 31 49 589 A is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows a winch system comprising a retaining device for a cable, comprising a drum 1 for containing at least two windings of the cable on the casing face thereof, said drum being mounted on bearings such that it can rotate, whereby the distance from the casing face of said drum 1 to the axis of rotation of said bearings varies around the periphery of said drum.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known winch system in that said winch system comprises a cable storage having a winding/unwinding drum separate from said retaining device.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

1.2. Article 33(3) PCT:

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as being to provide a winch system with a compact cable retaining device which can be easily operated at different speeds.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The cable is stored in D1 on a central cylindrical part 2 of the drum. The length of the storage part of the drum can be increased in case of an increased need for cable storage capacity (see Fig.8).

In order to solve the above-mentioned problem, the other cited prior art merely foresees to provide a further drum of different diameters on the same axis (see US-A-1 621 714 or also WO 01/30650 A, Fig.5).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/NL2004/000561

A winch system, whereby the distance from the casing face of the drum to the axis of rotation of the bearings varies around the periphery of said drum and comprising a cable storage having a winding/unwinding drum separate from said retaining device, is not shown or suggested by the available prior art.

The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is therefore considered to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

2. Dependent claims 2-10:

Claims 2-10 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step (Article 33(2)(3) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The embodiments of the invention shown in figures 1 and 2 and the corresponding parts of the description do not relate to a winch system comprising a cable storage having a winding/unwinding drum separate from the retaining device and therefore do not fall within the scope of the claims. This inconsistency between the claims and the description leads to doubt concerning the matter for which protection is sought, thereby rendering the claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.